


REMARKS

Applicant wishes to thank the examiner for the courtesy extended to the undersigned representative during the telephone interview that took place on May 10, 2007. During the interview, which was initiated by the undersigned, there was discussion of the possibility of amending claim 23 to include the features of claims 43 and 44. No agreement was reached with regard to possible amendments.

During the interview, the examiner suggested that amendment of claim 23 to include the features of claims 43 and 44, but with further limitation to clarify the nature of the feature specified in claim 44, would distinguish the invention over the prior art but would require further consideration and therefore amendment in this manner would not be permitted after final rejection. The examiner suggested that applicant should file a request for continued examination.

During the interview, the examiner suggested that the term "bulb-shaped" would be apt to describe the configuration of the recess shown in FIG. 10 and stated that amendment of the description of FIG. 10 to refer to the recess as being bulb-shaped would not constitute new matter.

Respectfully submitted,



John Smith-Hill
Reg. No. 27,730

SMITH-HILL & BEDELL, P.C.
16100 N.W. Cornell Road, Suite 220
Beaverton, Oregon 97006

Tel. (503) 574-3100
Fax (503) 574-3197
Docket: SWIN 3268